

Department of Highways District Nine

Public Comment Form Instructions

- 1. Print this form, review and answer questions on both pages.
- 2. Mail to: Department of Highways District 9, Re: Item No. 09-129.00, 822 Elizaville Ave., Flemingsburg, KY 41041

Find more information or assistance, call Department of Highways District 9 Planning in Flemingsburg at (606) 845-2551.



I-64 to US 23 ASHLAND CONNECTOR PUBLIC MEETING #2 KYTC Item No. 9-129.00 November 18, 2008



PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet has initiated a study to identify community concerns and evaluate project alternatives to improve access and mobility between I-64 and Ashland. The study is intended to help define the location and purpose of potential transportation improvements and better meet Federal requirements regarding consideration of environmental issues, as defined in the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA).

As part of this study, the KYTC asks for your assistance in identifying the potential transportation projects you believe should be considered. Please complete this form and leave it with a Study Team member before you leave or mail it to KYTC within the next fifteen (15) days using one of the pre-addressed envelopes provided.

Short-Term Transportation Improvements

Please tell us if you feel that the following short-term improvement options should be considered in the final recommendations. Please add any improvements you believe should be considered.

1.					Comments
	US 60 at KY 180		Yes	□ No	
2.	US 60 at KY 168 (Blackburn Ave.)		Yes		
3.	KY 168 at Roberts Drive		Yes	□ No	
Media	n Reconstruction				
4.	US 60 between KY 716 and KY 1012		Yes	□ No	
Additi	on of Turn Lane(s)				
5.	US 60 at Paul Coffey Boulevard		Yes	□ No	
6.	US 60 at KY 716 (Summitt Road)		Yes		
7.	US 60 at KY 1012 (Boy Scout Road)		Yes		
8.	US 60 at KY 766 (Bob McCullough Drive)		Yes	□ No	
Signag	ge Improvement				
9.	US 60 (13th St.) approaching downtown As	hlar	nd 🗆	Yes [□ No
hould othe	er short-term improvements be considered? I	f so	, please	describe.	







Long-Range Corridor Alternatives

The Ashland Connector Advisory Team (ACAT), made up of a diverse group of local citizens, business representatives, local elected officials and emergency responders, indicated that **Alternative 3**, **Alternative 4**, and **Alternative 5** should be carried forward for further consideration. Please tell us what you think.

Of the following long-range corridor alternatives, which do you feel should be carried forward for

Turtner	con	sideration?				
	1.	Alternative 1: Prin	ncess Connector		□ Yes	□ No
		If you said "Ye □ Alt 1A	es" to Alternative Alt 1B	1, which option?		
	2.	Alternative 2: Bell	efonte Connecto	r	□ Yes	□ No
		If you said "Ye □ Alt 2A	es" to Alternative Alt 2B	2, which option?		
	3.	Alternative 3: Wes	stwood Connecto	or	□ Yes	□ No
		If you said "Ye one.)	es" to Alternative	3, which option(s)? (You may chec	ck more than
		□ Alt 3A	□ Alt 3A-3C	□ Alt 3A-3D	□ Alt 3A-3E	
		□ Alt 3B	□ Alt 3B-3C	□ Alt 3B-3D	□ Alt 3B-3E	
	4.	Alternative 4: Wid	len US 60 betwee	en I-64 and KY 1	80 □ Yes	□ No
	nland to a 3-lane tive 2 or					
		Alternative 3)		□ Yes □]	No	
Should	oth	er long-range corrido	or alternatives be	considered? If so,	please describe.	

For more information, please visit us on the web: http://www.transportation.ky.gov/d9/Connector.htm